IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.17424 of 2006

Dated: September 7, 2006

Between:

T. Gnana Sagar, S/o. T. Venkatesham, aged about 19 years, Student, R/o. Siddipet, Medak District.

… Petitioner

And

The Registrar, Osmania University, Hyderabad, And another.

… Respondents

Order:

The petitioner, a student of B.Com in the second respondent college, has filed this writ petition questioning Letter No.2117/B/Acad.I/2006 dated 07-08-2006, of the first respondent.

2. The petitioner was not promoted to the third year, as he did not have sufficient credits; he had five backlogs in the first year and second year together. At that stage, he made representation requesting the first respondent seeking transfer to any college in Siddipet. However, his request was rejected by the impugned

proceedings on the ground that he did not clear the required number of backlogs and he was informed that he cannot be transferred to third year of any college at Siddipet, unless he is promoted to third year.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel appearing for the first respondent.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is prepared to appear for the backlog subjects. From the very submission, it is clear that, as on today, the petitioner has not cleared the backlog subjects. Unless and until he clears the required number of backlog subjects, he cannot be promoted to the third year. Further, his request for transfer to any college at Siddipet cannot also be permitted, as the case of his promoting to the third year arises only after his completing backlog subjects.

5. In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to make a fresh representation to the respondents after he clears his backlog subjects. On petitioner clearing backlog subjects and making representation in that regard, the respondents shall consider his request for transfer and pass appropriate orders. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

September 7, 2006
MRR